



## Fitch Affirms Albany County Airport Authority at 'A-'; Outlook Stable

Fitch Ratings-Chicago-06 June 2018: Fitch Ratings has affirmed the 'A-' rating on Albany County Airport Authority's (ACAA) outstanding \$62.8 million of airport revenue bonds. The Rating Outlook is Stable.

The authority has an additional \$14.4 million of outstanding parity debt that Fitch does not rate.

### KEY RATING DRIVERS

Summary: The rating reflects ACAA's relatively small traffic base serving New York State's capital city with minimal competition and stabilizing enplanement base as shown by the past few years of modest traffic increases. The rating further reflects the airport's adequate cost recovery mechanisms and revenue generation utilizing a hybrid airline use and lease agreement (AUL), passenger facility charges (PFCs), and growing, yet modest, non-airline revenue streams. The airport's current and projected financial performance indicates declining leverage metrics and modest, yet improving, DSCR offsetting more limited liquidity levels.

#### Limited Traffic Base- Revenue Risk (Volume): Midrange

The airport serves a small, but entirely origination/destination (O&D) traffic base of approximately 1.4 million enplanements within New York State's stable capital region, with minimal competition. The airport exhibited moderate enplanement volatility with a historical peak-to-trough of 15.6%; however, steady growth over the past few years has resulted in a nearly complete recovery and enplanements stand at 90% of their 2004 peak level. Some carrier concentration exists with Southwest Airlines (BBB+/Positive) maintaining a 40% majority market share, though service reduction risk is partially mitigated by Southwest's historically stable presence at the airport.

#### Adequate Cost Recovery- Revenue Risk (Price): Midrange

The airport utilizes a hybrid AUL, which, alongside PFCs, provides adequate recovery of operating expenses and debt service costs. While non-airline revenue growth has been persistent through traffic downturns, cost recovery via both airline revenues and non-airline revenues has only provided narrow net revenue debt service coverage.

Fitch views ACAA's reasonable cost per enplanement (CPE) of \$6-\$7 favorably without upward pressure, and should provide for the retaining of air service as well as some rate-making flexibility.

**Modest Capital Program- Infrastructure Development & Renewal: Stronger**  
Albany's amended five-year capital plan through 2019 remains modest at \$142.5 million, representing an increase of approximately \$22 million to include additional funding for terminal and landside projects given recent enplanement growth. Positively, funding will come from a variety of sources including grants, airport cash, and new revenue bonds and the timing should be flexible. Fitch notes that the significant drop off in debt service in 2019 should provide ACAA ample capacity to take on additional debt while maintaining favorable leverage metrics. Fitch has modeled in an additional \$12 million of new bonds into its analysis in 2018, though the timing and size of future issuances are still uncertain.

**Conservative Debt Structure- Debt Structure: Stronger**

The airport's debt structure is conservative, comprising all senior, fixed-rate and fully amortizing debt. ACAA benefits from strong structural features, with a cash-funded debt service reserve fund of \$11.1 million alongside an adequate rate covenant of 1.25x. Leverage has continued to decline over time and should continue to do so in Fitch's rating case, though should ACAA issue more than the modeled \$12 million, deleveraging could be more modest in the near term.

**Financial Profile**

Fitch-calculated DSCRs (treating PFCs as revenues as opposed to debt service offsets) for the airport are considered modest, at 1.4x in 2017 and forecast to remain within the 1.3x-1.5x range through 2022. The airport's liquidity position is considered moderate at 252 days cash on hand (DCOH) in comparison with other small hub peers within Fitch's portfolio. However, favorable debt metrics, with leverage of less than 2.5x in 2017 offset the modest coverage and liquidity metrics. Fitch expects leverage to remain within the 2x-3x range over the next few years under conditions of modest borrowing by ACAA.

**PEER GROUP**

ACAA's peers include other North-Eastern U.S. airports with modest enplanement bases, such as Rhode Island (BBB+/Stable) and Hartford (CT) (A/Stable). Hartford benefits from a stronger air service area, larger enplanement base, and higher coverage levels in comparison with Albany, while Rhode Island's lower rating is largely explained by higher debt metrics, higher CPE and weaker traffic performance.

## RATING SENSITIVITIES

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Negative Rating Action:

--Material increases in debt without commensurate increases in revenue that lead to leverage above 4x on a sustained basis.

--A substantial deterioration in passenger traffic that pressures the airport's ability to generate non-aviation revenue or causes CPE to become uncompetitive.

Future Developments That May, Individually or Collectively, Lead to Positive Rating Action:

--While not likely in the near term, sizable positive traffic growth that leads to a stronger Fitch-calculated rating case DSCR profile alongside stabilized leverage below 2.0x.

## CREDIT UPDATE

### Performance Update

The airport's traffic growth has continued on a solid upward trajectory, growing 0.7% in 2017 (following 8.4% in 2016), and is up another 1.5% YTD 2018 for the three months through March. Stable performance in 2017 is attributed to minimal changes in air service. In an effort to encourage carriers to increase flight frequency, the airport has adopted a more aggressive terminal fee credit and landing fees incentive plan as of March 2018. Management projects enplanements to remain stable at 1.4 million for 2018 with tepid 1% growth thereafter.

The airport benefits from a diverse carrier mix with no single airline accounting for more than a 40% market share (Southwest continues to lead). American is next at 22%, followed by Delta at 16%, and United at 15%. Further, the airport has been benefiting from increased enplanement volume from JetBlue, now accounting for 6.4% of total airline market share.

The airport's AUL, with hybrid rate setting terms, was last renewed in January 2016 and will expire in December 2020, with negotiations to progress in 2019 and 2020.

Performance remained generally in line with expectations in 2017. Airline revenues rose 6.7% to \$9 million from \$8.4 million, while non-airline revenues grew by 4.6% to about \$39 million, largely attributed to strong performance in rental car, concession and fixed base operation (FBO) revenues. Total revenues experienced positive growth of 4.4% as a result. Operating expenses grew by 4% to about \$34 million, mainly reflecting an increase in FBO expenses. Overall, the expense profile has

remained relatively flat over the last 10 years. Year to date expenses through March 2018 reveals an 8% increase in operating expenses.

Supported by the authority's stable financial profile coupled with an amortizing debt profile, Fitch calculated a DSCR of 1.4x (treating PFCs as revenues) and 1.6x on an indenture basis. Leverage was 2.3x and the authority had an adequate 252 days cash on hand.

Albany's amended five-year capital plan through 2019 remains modest at \$142.5 million, representing an increase of approximately \$22 million to include additional funding for terminal and landside projects given recent enplanement growth. Positively, the majority of funding is expected to come from grants, with the remainder from airport cash and new money borrowings. Additionally, the timing should be flexible. Fitch notes that the significant drop off in debt service in 2019 should provide ACAA ample capacity to take on additional debt while maintaining favorable leverage metrics. Fitch has modeled in an additional \$12 million of new bonds into its analysis in 2018, though the timing and size of future issuances are still uncertain.

#### Fitch Cases

Based on dialogue with management, Fitch has modeled in a lesser \$12 million of additional debt for the landside projects in 2018 versus the \$34 million assumed in prior consultant reports. Fitch also tapered down the forecast rental car growth in 2017 to 1% based on forecasted enplanement growth. Enplanements grow at a modest 1% per year through 2022 while airline revenues grow at an average of 3.2% per year and non-airline revenues 0.6%. Operating expenses grow 6.2% in 2018 followed by 2.5% per annum thereafter. The result is Fitch-calculated DSCR of no less than 1.3x that averages 1.5x (1.5x and 1.7x, respectively, on an indenture basis) and leverage that falls to 1x by 2022 despite the additional issuance. CPE remains in the \$6-\$7 range.

Fitch's rating case maintains the \$12 million new debt assumption, but models in a 7% stress to enplanements in 2019 followed by 1.5%-2.0% recovery per year thereafter. Airline revenues are largely unchanged, but non-airline revenues move with enplanements. Operating expenses are assumed to be held flat in 2019 given the enplanement loss, but are stressed to 3% per year thereafter. Minimum Fitch-calculated DSCR is 1.3x and averages 1.4x, while indenture-based coverage is no less than 1.5x with an average of 1.6x. Leverage is still very low at 1.1x by 2022 and CPE is just slightly higher, peaking at \$7.53 in 2022.

Contact:

Primary Analyst  
Jeffrey Lack  
Director  
+1-312-368-3171  
Fitch Ratings, Inc.  
70 W. Madison St.  
Chicago, IL 60602

Secondary Analyst  
Emma Griffith  
Senior Director  
+1-212-908-9124

Committee Chairperson  
Seth Lehman  
Senior Director  
+1-212-908-0755

Media Relations: Sandro Scenga, New York, Tel: +1 212-908-0278, Email:  
[sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com](mailto:sandro.scenga@fitchratings.com)

Additional information is available on [www.fitchratings.com](http://www.fitchratings.com)

### **Applicable Criteria**

Airports Rating Criteria (pub. 23 Feb 2018)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/10021613>)

Rating Criteria for Infrastructure and Project Finance (pub. 24 Aug 2017)

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/re/902689>)

### **Additional Disclosures**

Dodd-Frank Rating Information Disclosure Form

(<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/dodd-frank-disclosure/10029287>)

Solicitation Status (<https://www.fitchratings.com/site/pr/10029287#solicitation>)

Endorsement Policy (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>)

ALL FITCH CREDIT RATINGS ARE SUBJECT TO CERTAIN LIMITATIONS AND  
DISCLAIMERS. PLEASE READ THESE LIMITATIONS AND DISCLAIMERS BY  
FOLLOWING THIS LINK:

[HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/UNDERSTANDINGCREDITRATINGS](https://www.fitchratings.com/understandingcreditratings). IN

ADDITION, RATING DEFINITIONS AND THE TERMS OF USE OF SUCH RATINGS ARE AVAILABLE ON THE AGENCY'S PUBLIC WEB SITE AT [WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM](http://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM). PUBLISHED RATINGS, CRITERIA, AND METHODOLOGIES ARE AVAILABLE FROM THIS SITE AT ALL TIMES. FITCH'S CODE OF CONDUCT, CONFIDENTIALITY, CONFLICTS OF INTEREST, AFFILIATE FIREWALL, COMPLIANCE, AND OTHER RELEVANT POLICIES AND PROCEDURES ARE ALSO AVAILABLE FROM THE CODE OF CONDUCT SECTION OF THIS SITE. DIRECTORS AND SHAREHOLDERS RELEVANT INTERESTS ARE AVAILABLE AT [HTTPS://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY](https://WWW.FITCHRATINGS.COM/SITE/REGULATORY). FITCH MAY HAVE PROVIDED ANOTHER PERMISSIBLE SERVICE TO THE RATED ENTITY OR ITS RELATED THIRD PARTIES. DETAILS OF THIS SERVICE FOR RATINGS FOR WHICH THE LEAD ANALYST IS BASED IN AN EU-REGISTERED ENTITY CAN BE FOUND ON THE ENTITY SUMMARY PAGE FOR THIS ISSUER ON THE FITCH WEBSITE.

Copyright © 2018 by Fitch Ratings, Inc., Fitch Ratings Ltd. and its subsidiaries. 33 Whitehall Street, NY, NY 10004. Telephone: 1-800-753-4824, (212) 908-0500. Fax: (212) 480-4435. Reproduction or retransmission in whole or in part is prohibited except by permission. All rights reserved. In issuing and maintaining its ratings and in making other reports (including forecast information), Fitch relies on factual information it receives from issuers and underwriters and from other sources Fitch believes to be credible. Fitch conducts a reasonable investigation of the factual information relied upon by it in accordance with its ratings methodology, and obtains reasonable verification of that information from independent sources, to the extent such sources are available for a given security or in a given jurisdiction. The manner of Fitch's factual investigation and the scope of the third-party verification it obtains will vary depending on the nature of the rated security and its issuer, the requirements and practices in the jurisdiction in which the rated security is offered and sold and/or the issuer is located, the availability and nature of relevant public information, access to the management of the issuer and its advisers, the availability of pre-existing third-party verifications such as audit reports, agreed-upon procedures letters, appraisals, actuarial reports, engineering reports, legal opinions and other reports provided by third parties, the availability of independent and competent third-party verification sources with respect to the particular security or in the particular jurisdiction of the issuer, and a variety of other factors. Users of Fitch's ratings and reports should understand that neither an enhanced factual investigation nor any third-party verification can ensure that all of the information Fitch relies on in connection with a rating or a report will be accurate and complete. Ultimately, the issuer and its advisers are responsible for the accuracy of the information they provide to Fitch and to the

market in offering documents and other reports. In issuing its ratings and its reports, Fitch must rely on the work of experts, including independent auditors with respect to financial statements and attorneys with respect to legal and tax matters. Further, ratings and forecasts of financial and other information are inherently forward-looking and embody assumptions and predictions about future events that by their nature cannot be verified as facts. As a result, despite any verification of current facts, ratings and forecasts can be affected by future events or conditions that were not anticipated at the time a rating or forecast was issued or affirmed.

The information in this report is provided “as is” without any representation or warranty of any kind, and Fitch does not represent or warrant that the report or any of its contents will meet any of the requirements of a recipient of the report. A Fitch rating is an opinion as to the creditworthiness of a security. This opinion and reports made by Fitch are based on established criteria and methodologies that Fitch is continuously evaluating and updating. Therefore, ratings and reports are the collective work product of Fitch and no individual, or group of individuals, is solely responsible for a rating or a report. The rating does not address the risk of loss due to risks other than credit risk, unless such risk is specifically mentioned. Fitch is not engaged in the offer or sale of any security. All Fitch reports have shared authorship. Individuals identified in a Fitch report were involved in, but are not solely responsible for, the opinions stated therein. The individuals are named for contact purposes only. A report providing a Fitch rating is neither a prospectus nor a substitute for the information assembled, verified and presented to investors by the issuer and its agents in connection with the sale of the securities. Ratings may be changed or withdrawn at any time for any reason in the sole discretion of Fitch. Fitch does not provide investment advice of any sort. Ratings are not a recommendation to buy, sell, or hold any security. Ratings do not comment on the adequacy of market price, the suitability of any security for a particular investor, or the tax-exempt nature or taxability of payments made in respect to any security. Fitch receives fees from issuers, insurers, guarantors, other obligors, and underwriters for rating securities. Such fees generally vary from US\$1,000 to US\$750,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent) per issue. In certain cases, Fitch will rate all or a number of issues issued by a particular issuer, or insured or guaranteed by a particular insurer or guarantor, for a single annual fee. Such fees are expected to vary from US\$10,000 to US\$1,500,000 (or the applicable currency equivalent). The assignment, publication, or dissemination of a rating by Fitch shall not constitute a consent by Fitch to use its name as an expert in connection with any registration statement filed under the United States securities laws, the Financial Services and Markets Act of 2000 of the United Kingdom, or the securities laws of any particular jurisdiction. Due to the relative efficiency of electronic publishing and distribution, Fitch research may be available to electronic subscribers up to three days earlier than to print subscribers.

For Australia, New Zealand, Taiwan and South Korea only: Fitch Australia Pty Ltd holds an Australian financial services license (AFS license no. 337123) which authorizes it to provide credit ratings to wholesale clients only. Credit ratings information published by Fitch is not intended to be used by persons who are retail clients within the meaning of the Corporations Act 2001

Fitch Ratings, Inc. is registered with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission as a Nationally Recognized Statistical Rating Organization (the "NRSRO"). While certain of the NRSRO's credit rating subsidiaries are listed on Item 3 of Form NRSRO and as such are authorized to issue credit ratings on behalf of the NRSRO (see <https://www.fitchratings.com/site/regulatory>), other credit rating subsidiaries are not listed on Form NRSRO (the "non-NRSROs") and therefore credit ratings issued by those subsidiaries are not issued on behalf of the NRSRO. However, non-NRSRO personnel may participate in determining credit ratings issued by or on behalf of the NRSRO.

### **Solicitation Status**

The ratings above were solicited and assigned or maintained at the request of the rated entity/issuer or a related third party. Any exceptions follow below.

### **Fitch Updates Terms of Use & Privacy Policy**

We have updated our Terms of Use and Privacy Policies which cover all of Fitch Group's websites. Learn more (<https://www.thefitchgroup.com/site/policies>).

**Endorsement Policy** - Fitch's approach to ratings endorsement so that ratings produced outside the EU may be used by regulated entities within the EU for regulatory purposes, pursuant to the terms of the EU Regulation with respect to credit rating agencies, can be found on the EU Regulatory Disclosures (<https://www.fitchratings.com/regulatory>) page. The endorsement status of all International ratings is provided within the entity summary page for each rated entity and in the transaction detail pages for all structured finance transactions on the Fitch website. These disclosures are updated on a daily basis.